

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450. Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006

APPLICATION NO.	F.	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/697,753 10/29/2003		10/29/2003	Wendy D. Stout	WDS/ 001	8784		
1473	7590	12/04/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FISH & NE			DUFFY, DAVID W				
ROPES & G 1251 AVEN		, HE AMERICAS FL (ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
NEW YORK	K, NY 10	0020-1105	3714				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	-				
	Office A4i O	10/697,75	3	STOUT, WENDY D.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		David W. (•	3714					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no even n. eriod will apply and will tatute, cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be timed texpire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	J. sely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	9 October 200	3.						
'—	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.								
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
-,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-64 is/are pending in the applica	tion.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-64</u> are subject to restriction and	l/or election req	uirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	the attached detailed emoc determine			•	·				
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
Pape	,,								

Application/Control Number: 10/697,753 Page 2

Art Unit: 3714

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-33, drawn to a modular display system, classified in class 40, subclass 605.
- II. Claims 48-64, drawn to an online drawing program, classified in class 345, subclass 428.
- III. Claims 38-40, drawn to a sticker, classified in class D19, subclass 1.
- IV. Claims 41 and 42, drawn to a knock out piece, classified in class 206, subclass 527.
- V. Claims 34-37, drawn to a notepad, classified in class D19, subclass 1.
- VI. Claims 43-47, drawn to a trading card, classified in class 283, subclass 75.

Inventions I-VI are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have a materially different mode of operation as invention I describes construction of a modular display piece that can interlock; invention II describes a system for enabling users to create electronic drawings on a remote server; invention III describes construction of a removable sticker assembly; invention IV describes the construction of a removable article from containment packaging; invention

V describes the construction of a stack of articles connected on an edge to create a pad; invention VI describes the construction of an article that can interlock with other similar articles that carries imagery of celebrities. Each of these features is unique to each invention and not shared by the others. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and are not shown to be obvious variants.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 2. Should applicant elect the invention of Group I, an election as to one of the following patentably distinct species is required:
 - a. a modular display system for marking upon,
 - b. a modular electronic display,
 - c. a virtual modular display system,
 - d. a sticker, or
 - e. a bundling packaging method.

The species are independent or distinct because they are directed to different embodiments of an interconnectable article.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 3714

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David W. Duffy whose telephone number is (571) 272-1574. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 0830-1700.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bob Olszewski can be reached on (571) 272-6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KATHLEEN MOSSER PRIMARY EXAMINER